

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 08/22/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR William Grey	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/934,826				101.050	. 8460	
48175 BMT/IBM	7590	04/13/2007		EXAMINER		
50 LOCUST				LIVERSEDGE, JENNIFER L		
NEW CANAAN, CT 06840				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3692		
	,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				04/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/934,826	GREY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jennifer Liversedge	3692	

	Jennifer Liversedge	3692					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orion	g date of the final rejecting the FIRST REPLY WAS Face 136(a) and the appropriation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office.	on. ILED WITHIN te extension fee iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	r than three months after the mailing da).	ate of the imal rejection,	ever ir umery mea,				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissai of tr	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f will not be entered b	ecause				
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be 	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompilant Amendment	(FTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a): illowable if submitted in a senarate	timely filed amendm	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s)	•	•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ worlded below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 51-56,88 and 89.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the aπida	ivit or other evidence	is necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 1. The affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 1. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered to the file of	ry and was not earlier presented.	ear and/or appellant is See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	(1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.			ance because.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	. · ·						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Dependent claims 84 and 87 have been incorporated into independent claim 51. Independent claim 51 would be rejected by the rejections for dependent claims 84 and 87 as presented in the Final Office Action. Each of the features of dependent claims 84 and 87 are disclosed by Tulloch except one feature which was rejected under 103. This feature is "a request to establish a financing function". Tulloch discloses the use of functions, which are financial in nature. As written in the Final Office Action, Tulloch does not disclose requesting to establish a function. However, the obviousness rejections is again presented that when two parties enter into negotiations, a buyer will request a discount or rebate or other terms of financing (as provided by Tulloch). As the functions which are used by Tulloch transform a bid based on discounts and rebates and all bidders' bids are filtered through a tranformation function, it would be obvious that a bidder would request a function based on the desired and requested financing terms as disclosed by Tulloch. Applicant argues that Tulloch does not dicslose "the sale of an item". Examiner disagrees. Tulloch discloses an on-line auction with rebates and discounts (page 1, paragraphs 2 and 13; page 2, paragraph 27) where the procurement of goods and services amongst buys and sellers are discussed (page 1, paragraphs 4-8) where a product or service is purchased and/or is for sale (page 2, paragraph 30; page 5, paragraphs 61 and 63), where bidding pricing and history is available (page 2, paragraph 30; page 6, paragraphs 72-77)

RICHARDE CHILCOT, JR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER